arXiv:1702.02413v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 8 Feb 2017

Non-Markovian full counting statistics of cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling in open quantum systems

Hai-Bin Xue,[1,*] Jiu-Qing Liang,[2] and Wu-Ming Liu[3,†]

[1]*College of Physics and Optoelectronics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030024, China*

[2]*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China*

[3]*Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China.*

(Dated: February 9, 2017)

Abstract

We develop a non-Markovian full counting statistics formalism taking into account both the sequential tunneling and cotunneling based on the exact particle number resolved time-convolutionless master equation and the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory, then study the influences of the quantum coherence and the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes on the non-Markovian full counting statistics of electron tunneling through an open quantum system, which consists of a side-coupled double-quantum-dot molecule weakly coupled to two electron reservoirs. We demonstrate that in the sequential tunneling regime the competition or interplay between the quantum coherence and the cotunneling processes determines whether the super-Poissonian of the shot noise, the skewness and the kurtosis take place and whether the sign transitions of the values of the skewness and the kurtosis occur in the strong quantum-coherent side-coupled double-quantum-dot molecule. These results suggest that it is necessary to consider the effects of the quantum coherence and the cotunneling processes on the full counting statistics of electron tunneling through open strong quantum-coherent quantum systems in the sequential tunneling regime, which provide a deeper insight into understanding of electron tunneling through open quantum systems.

PACS numbers:

*Electronic address: xuehaibin@tyut.edu.cn

†Electronic address: wmliu@iphy.ac.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

In an open quantum system, for an intermediate coupling strength between the open quantum system and electron reservoir, the high-order tunneling processes, i.e., the cotunneling processes can influence the electron tunneling processes and bring out novel physical properties. Therefore, the electron cotunneling in open quantum systems, especially quantum dot (QD) molecules that being artificial molecules made from coupled QDs and single molecules have been extensively studied both experimentally [1–6] and theoretically [7–12]. Particularly, the shot noise [13–28] and the full counting statistics (FCS) [26, 29–31] of cotunneling in QD molecules have attracted considerable attention due to they can allow one to identify the intrinsic properties of the QD molecules and access the information of electron correlation that cannot be obtained from the average current measurements. For example, the transport current in the Coulomb blockade regime, where the transfer of electrons is dominated by cotunneling processes, has been demonstrated experimentally [13–18] and theoretically [19–28] to be super-Poissonian noise. Here, the super-Poissonian distribution of electron counts has a width that is broader than its mean, whereas for a Poissonian distribution the width and its mean have the same values.

On the other hand, the quantum coherence, which is characterized by the off-diagonal elements of reduced density matrix of the considered system within the framework of the density matrix theory, also plays an important role in the electron tunneling through the strong quantum-coherent single molecule [28, 32–38]. In particular, theoretical studies have demonstrated that the non-Markovian effect of a strong quantum-coherent single molecule weakly coupled to two electron reservoirs plays an important role in the non-equilibrium electron tunneling processes, and manifests itself through the quantum coherence of single molecule [38]. Consequently, the electron tunneling through an open quantum system in the intermediate coupling strength case are mainly governed by the competition or interplay between cotunneling, sequential tunneling and quantum coherence. It has been demonstrated that the electron cotunneling processes in the Coulomb blockade regime play a crucial role and has a slightly influence on the conduction and shot noise in the sequential tunneling regime where the transfer of electrons is dominated by sequential tunneling [3, 27, 39].

Outside the Coulomb blockade regime, however, theoretical studies have demonstrated that the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes have an important influence

on the conduction [8, 22] and shot noise [21, 25]. Particularly, the influence of quantum coherence on the shot noise and the FCS of the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes has received little attention in the previous studies. Although the influence of the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling on conduction and shot noise outside the Coulomb blockade regime has received some attentions, how the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling affects the non-Markovian FCS is still an open issue, especially the influence of the competition or interplay between the quantum coherence and the cotunneling processes on the non-Markovian FCS in the sequential tunneling regime has not yet been revealed.

The aim of this work is thus to derive a non-Markovian FCS formalism taking into account both the sequential tunneling and cotunneling based on the exact particle number resolved time-convolutionless (TCL) master equation and the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory developed in references [40–42], then study the influences of the quantum coherence and the cotunneling processes on the non-Markovian FCS of electron tunneling through open quantum systems in the sequential tunneling regime. For the sake of discussion, the considered open quantum system consists of a side-coupled double-quantum-dot molecule weakly coupled to two electron electrodes (reservoirs), in which the quantum coherence can be tuned by modulating the hopping strength between the two QDs relative to the coupling of this QD molecule with the source and drain electrodes. We numerically demonstrated that in the sequential tunneling regime the competition or interplay between the cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence in the strong quantum-coherent side-coupled double-QD molecule can occur in a range of the QD-electrode coupling strength, and has a remarkable influence on the FCS, which depends on the temperature and the left-right asymmetry of the QD-electrode coupling. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the effects of the quantum coherence and the cotunneling processes on the FCS of electron tunneling through open strong quantum-coherent quantum systems even through the electron tunneling is mainly dominated by the sequential tunneling processes.

II. NON-MARKOVIAN FULL COUNTING STATISTICS FORMALISM

A. THE SECOND-ORDER AND FOUR-ORDER TIME-CONVOLUTIONLESS MASTER EQUATIONS

We consider an open quantum system consisting of a QD molecule weakly coupled to the two electrodes (reservoirs), which is described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{\text{electrodes}} + H_{\text{dot}} + H_{\text{hyb}}. \tag{1}$$

Here, the first term $H_{\text{electrodes}} = \sum_{\alpha,k,\sigma} \varepsilon_{\alpha k} a^{\dagger}_{\alpha k\sigma} a_{\alpha k\sigma}$, characterized by the two noninteracting reservoirs, stands for the Hamiltonian of the two electrodes, with the energy dispersion $\varepsilon_{\alpha k}$, and $a^{\dagger}_{\alpha k\sigma}$ ($a_{\alpha k\sigma}$) being the creation (annihilation) operators in the α electrode. The second term $H_{\text{dot}} = H_S\left(d^{\dagger}_{\mu}, d_{\mu}\right)$, which may contain vibrational or spin degrees of freedom and different types of many-body interactions, represents the QD molecule Hamiltonian, where $d^{\dagger}_{\mu}$ (d_{μ}) is the creation (annihilation) operator of electron in a quantum state denoted by μ. The third term $H_{\text{hyb}} = \sum_{\alpha,\mu,k}\left(t_{\alpha\mu k} d^{\dagger}_{\mu} a_{\alpha\mu k} + t^{*}_{\alpha\mu k} a^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mu k} d_{\mu}\right)$ describes the tunneling coupling between the QD molecule and the two electrodes, which is assumed to be a sum of bilinear terms that each create an electron in the QD molecule and annihilate one in the electrodes or vice versa.

The QD-electrode coupling is assumed to be sufficiently weak, such that H_{hyb} can be treated perturbatively. In the interaction representation, the equation of motion for the total density matrix reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_I(t) = -i\left[H^I_{\text{hyb}}(t), \rho^I(t)\right] \equiv \mathcal{L}(t)\,\rho_I(t), \tag{2}$$

with

$$H^I_{\text{hyb}}(t) = \sum_{\alpha,\mu}\left[f^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mu}(t)\, d_{\mu}(t) + f_{\alpha\mu}(t)\, d^{\dagger}_{\mu}(t)\right]$$

where $f^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mu}(t) = \sum_k t^{*}_{\alpha\mu k} \exp\left(iH_{\text{electrodes}}t\right) a^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mu k} \exp\left(-iH_{\text{electrodes}}t\right)$ and $d_{\mu}(t) = \exp\left(iH_{\text{dot}}t\right) d_{\mu} \exp\left(-iH_{\text{dot}}t\right)$. To derive an exact equation of motion for the reduced density matrix ρ_S of the QD molecule, it is convenient to define a super-operator $\mathcal{P}$

according to

$$\mathcal{P}\rho = \mathrm{tr}_B\left[\rho\right]\otimes\rho_B = \rho_S\otimes\rho_B, \tag{3}$$

where ρ_B is some fixed states of the two electrodes. Accordingly, a complementary superoperator $\mathcal{Q}$,

$$\mathcal{Q}\rho = \rho - \mathcal{P}\rho. \tag{4}$$

For a factorizing initial condition $\rho\left(t_0\right) = \rho_S\left(t_0\right)\otimes\rho_B$, $\mathcal{P}\rho\left(t_0\right) = \rho\left(t_0\right)$, and $\mathcal{Q}\rho\left(t_0\right) = 0$. Along the line of Ref. [43], using the TCL projection operator method, one can obtain the second-order and the four-order TCL master equations,

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{P}\rho\left(t\right)\right|_2 = \mathcal{K}_2\left(t\right)\mathcal{P}\rho\left(t\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_1\right)\mathcal{P}\rho\left(t\right) \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{P}\rho\left(t\right)\right|_4 \\
=\ &\mathcal{K}_4\left(t\right)\mathcal{P}\rho\left(t\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt_2 \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} dt_3 \\
&\times\left[\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_1\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_2\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_3\right)\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_1\right)\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t_2\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_3\right)\mathcal{P}\right. \\
&\left.-\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_2\right)\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t_1\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_3\right)\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_3\right)\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\left(t_1\right)\mathcal{L}\left(t_2\right)\mathcal{P}\right]\mathcal{P}\rho\left(t\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Both Eqs. (5) and (6) are the starting point of deriving the particle number resolved quantum master equation.

B. THE SECOND-ORDER PARTICLE NUMBER RESOLVED TIME-CONVOLUTIONLESS MASTER EQUATION

In this subsection, we derive the second-order particle number resolved quantum master equation based on Eq. (5). Using both Eqs. (2) and (3), Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{I,S}\left(t\right)\Big|_2 \\
=&-\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_B\left[\rho_{I,S}\left(t\right)\otimes\rho_B f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}\left(t_1\right)d_j\left(t_1\right)d_i^{\dagger}\left(t\right)f_{\alpha i}\left(t\right)\right] \\
&-\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_B\left[d_i^{\dagger}\left(t\right)f_{\alpha i}\left(t\right)f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}\left(t_1\right)d_j\left(t_1\right)\rho_{I,S}\left(t\right)\otimes\rho_B\right] \\
&+\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_B\left[f_{\alpha i}^{\dagger}\left(t\right)d_i\left(t\right)\rho_{I,S}\left(t\right)\otimes\rho_B d_j^{\dagger}\left(t_1\right)f_{\alpha j}\left(t_1\right)\right] \\
&+\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_B\left[d_i^{\dagger}\left(t\right)f_{\alpha i}\left(t\right)\rho_{I,S}\left(t\right)\otimes\rho_B f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}\left(t_1\right)d_j\left(t_1\right)\right]+\mathrm{H.c.}.
\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

In order to fully describe the electron transport problem, we should record the electron numbers arriving at the drain electrode, which emitted from the source electrode and passing through the QD molecule. Along the lines of Refs. [44, 45], we introduce the Hilbert subspace $B^{(n)}$ $(n=1,2,...)$ corresponding to n electrons arriving at the drain electrode, which is spanned by the product of all many-particle states of the two isolated electrodes, and formally denoted as $B^{(n)}\equiv\mathrm{span}\Big\{\left|\Psi_L\right\rangle^{(n)}\otimes\left|\Psi_R\right\rangle^{(n)}\Big\}$. Then, the entire Hilbert space of the two electrodes can be expressed as $B=\oplus_n B^{(n)}$. With this classification of the two electrode states, the average over states in the entire Hilbert space B in Eq. (7) should be replaced with the states in the subspace $B^{(n)}$, and leading to a conditional TCL master

equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{I,S}^{(n)}(t)\right|_2 \\
=&-\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[\rho_{I,S}(t)\otimes\rho_B f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,d_j(t_1)\,d_i^{\dagger}(t)\,f_{\alpha i}(t)\right] \\
&-\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[d_i^{\dagger}(t)\,f_{\alpha i}(t)\,f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,d_j(t_1)\,\rho_{I,S}(t)\otimes\rho_B\right] \\
&+\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,d_j(t_1)\,\rho_{I,S}(t)\otimes\rho_B d_i^{\dagger}(t)\,f_{\alpha i}(t)\right] \\
&+\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[d_i^{\dagger}(t)\,f_{\alpha i}(t)\,\rho_{I,S}(t)\otimes\rho_B f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,d_j(t_1)\right]+\mathrm{H.c.}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

To proceed, two physical considerations are further implemented. (i) Instead of the conventional Born approximation for the entire density matrix $\rho_T(t)\simeq\rho(t)\otimes\rho_B$, the ansatz $\rho^I(t)\simeq\rho^{(n)}(t)\otimes\rho_B^{(n)}$ is proposed, where $\rho_B^{(n)}$ being the density operator of two electrodes associated with n electrons arriving at the drain electrode. With this ansatz for the entire density operator, tracing over the subspace $B^{(n)}$, Eq. (8) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{I,S}^{(n)}(t)\right|_2 \\
=&-\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,f_{\alpha i}(t)\,\rho_B\right]\rho_{I,S}^{(n)}(t)\,d_j(t_1)\,d_i^{\dagger}(t) \\
&-\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[f_{\alpha i}(t)\,f_{\alpha j}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,\rho_B\right]d_i^{\dagger}(t)\,d_j(t_1)\,\rho_{I,S}^{(n)}(t) \\
&+\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[f_{Li}(t)\,f_{Lj}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,\rho_B\right]d_j(t_1)\,\rho_{I,S}^{(n)}(t)\,d_i^{\dagger}(t) \\
&+\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[f_{Ri}(t)\,f_{Rj}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,\rho_B\right]d_j(t_1)\,\rho_{I,S}^{(n-1)}(t)\,d_i^{\dagger}(t) \\
&+\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[f_{Lj}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,f_{Li}(t)\,\rho_B\right]d_i^{\dagger}(t)\,\rho_{I,S}^{(n)}(t)\,d_j(t_1) \\
&+\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(n)}}\left[f_{Rj}^{\dagger}(t_1)\,f_{Ri}(t)\,\rho_B\right]d_i^{\dagger}(t)\,\rho_{I,S}^{(n+1)}(t)\,d_j(t_1)+\mathrm{H.c.}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{9}
$$

Here we have used the orthogonality between the states in different subspaces. (ii) The extra electrons arriving at the drain electrode will flow back into the source electrode via

the external closed transport circuit. On the other hand, the rapid relaxation processes in the electrodes will bring the electrodes to the local thermal equilibrium states quickly, which are determined by the chemical potentials. Consequently, after the procedure done in Eq. (9), the density matrices of two electrodes $\rho_B^{(n)}$ and $\rho_B^{(n\pm1)}$ should be replaced by $\rho_B^{(0)}$. In the Schrödinger representation, Eq. (9) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\right|_2 \\
=\ & -i\left[H_S,\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\right] \\
& -\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{\alpha ji}^{(+)}(t_1-t)\,\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\,e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)}d_i^\dagger \\
& -\sum_{\alpha ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{\alpha ij}^{(-)}(t-t_1)\,d_i^\dagger e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)}\rho_S^{(n)}(t) \\
& +\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{Lij}^{(-)}(t-t_1)\,e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)}\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\,d_i^\dagger \\
& +\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{Rij}^{(-)}(t-t_1)\,e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)}\rho_S^{(n-1)}(t)\,d_i^\dagger \\
& +\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{Lji}^{(+)}(t_1-t)\,d_i^\dagger\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\,e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)} \\
& +\sum_{ij}\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{Rji}^{(+)}(t_1-t)\,d_i^\dagger\rho_S^{(n+1)}(t)\,e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)} + \text{H.c.}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the correlation functions are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\alpha ij}^{(+)}(t-t_1) &= \mathrm{tr}_R\left[f_{\alpha i}^\dagger(t)\,f_{\alpha j}(t_1)\,\rho_B\right] = \left\langle f_{\alpha i}^\dagger(t)\,f_{\alpha j}(t_1)\right\rangle, \\
C_{\alpha ij}^{(-)}(t-t_1) &= \mathrm{tr}_R\left[f_{\alpha i}(t)\,f_{\alpha j}^\dagger(t_1)\,\rho_B\right] = \left\langle f_{\alpha i}(t)\,f_{\alpha j}^\dagger(t_1)\right\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Introducing the following super-operators

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\alpha i}^{(+)}(t) &= \sum_j\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{\alpha ji}^{(+)}(t_1-t)\,e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)}, \\
A_{\alpha i}^{(-)}(t) &= \sum_j\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 C_{\alpha ij}^{(-)}(t-t_1)\,e^{-iH_S(t-t_1)}d_j e^{iH_S(t-t_1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

then, Eq. (10) can be rewritten as a compact form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\right|_2 \\
=\ &-i\left[H_S,\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\right] \\
&-\sum_i\Big\{\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\,A_i^{(+)}(t)\,d_i^\dagger+d_i^\dagger A_i^{(-)}(t)\,\rho_S^{(n)}(t) \\
&-A_{Li}^{(-)}(t)\,\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\,d_i^\dagger-A_{Ri}^{(-)}(t)\,\rho_S^{(n-1)}(t)\,d_i^\dagger \\
&-d_i^\dagger\rho_S^{(n)}(t)\,A_{Li}^{(+)}(t)-d_i^\dagger\rho_S^{(n+1)}(t)\,A_{Ri}^{(+)}(t)+\text{H.c.}\Big\}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_i^{(\pm)}(t)=\sum_\alpha A_{\alpha i}^{(\pm)}(t)$. The equation (13) is the starting point of the non-Markovian FCS calculation taking into account only the sequential tunneling processes.

C. THE FOUR-ORDER PARTICLE NUMBER RESOLVED TIME-CONVOLUTIONLESS MASTER EQUATION

In this subsection, we derive the four-order particle number resolved quantum master equation based on Eq. (6). Using both Eqs. (2) and (3), Eq. (6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{I,S}(t)\right|_4=\int_{-\infty}^{t}dt_1\int_{-\infty}^{t_1}dt_2\int_{-\infty}^{t_2}dt_3\sum_{ijkl} \\
&\times\{\text{tr}_B\left[H_I(t),\left[H_I(t_1),\left[H_I(t_2),\left[H_I(t_3),\rho_S\otimes\rho_B\right]\right]\right]\right] \\
&-\text{tr}_B\left[H_I(t),\left[H_I(t_1),\text{tr}_B\left[H_I(t_2),\left[H_I(t_3),\rho_S\otimes\rho_B\right]\right]\otimes\rho_B\right]\right] \\
&-\text{tr}_B\left[H_I(t),\left[H_I(t_2),\text{tr}_B\left[H_I(t_1),\left[H_I(t_3),\rho_S\otimes\rho_B\right]\right]\otimes\rho_B\right]\right] \\
&-\text{tr}_B\left[H_I(t),\left[H_I(t_3),\text{tr}_B\left[H_I(t_1),\left[H_I(t_2),\rho_S\otimes\rho_B\right]\right]\otimes\rho_B\right]\right] \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to further facilitate this derivation, the tunneling coupling between the QD molecule and the two electrodes $H_{\text{hyb}}^I(t)$ can be rewritten as the following equation

$$H_{\text{hyb}}^I(t)=\sum_{\alpha,\mu}F_{\alpha\mu}(t)\,D_\mu(t), \qquad (15)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha\mu}\left(t\right) &= \sum_{k} e^{iH_{\text{electrodes}}t} F_{\alpha\mu k} e^{-iH_{\text{electrodes}}t}, \\ D_{\mu}\left(t\right) &= e^{iH_{\text{dot}}t} D_{\mu} e^{-iH_{\text{dot}}t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_{\alpha\mu k} = t_{\alpha\mu k} a_{\alpha\mu k} + t^{*}_{\alpha\mu k} a^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mu k}$, $D_{\mu} = d_{\mu} + d^{\dagger}_{\mu}$. Inserting Eq. (15) into Eq. (14), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{I,S}\left(t\right)\right|_{4} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt_2 \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} dt_3 \sum_{ijkl} \left\{ C_{02}C_{13}\left[\widehat{0},\left[\widehat{1},\widehat{2}\right]\widehat{3}\rho_S\right] \right. \\ &\quad -C_{02}C_{31}\left[\widehat{0},\left[\widehat{1},\widehat{2}\right]\rho_S\widehat{3}\right] + C_{03}C_{12}\left[\widehat{0},\left[\widehat{12},\widehat{3}\right]\rho_S\right] \\ &\quad \left. -C_{03}C_{12}\left[\widehat{0},\left[\widehat{2},\widehat{3}\right]\rho_S\widehat{1}\right] - C_{03}C_{21}\left[\widehat{0},\left[\widehat{1},\widehat{3}\right]\rho_S\widehat{2}\right]\right\} + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_{02} &= \sum_{\alpha ik} \text{tr}_B\left[F_{\alpha i}\left(t\right)F_{\alpha k}\left(t_2\right)\right], C_{03} = \sum_{\alpha il} \text{tr}_B\left[F_{\alpha i}\left(t\right)F_{\alpha l}\left(t_3\right)\right], \\ C_{12} &= \sum_{\alpha jk} \text{tr}_B\left[F_{\alpha j}\left(t_1\right)F_{\alpha k}\left(t_2\right)\right], C_{21} = \sum_{\alpha kj} \text{tr}_B\left[F_{\alpha k}\left(t_2\right)F_{\alpha j}\left(t_1\right)\right], \\ C_{13} &= \sum_{\alpha jl} \text{tr}_B\left[F_{\alpha j}\left(t_1\right)F_{\alpha l}\left(t_3\right)\right], C_{31} = \sum_{\alpha lj} \text{tr}_B\left[F_{\alpha l}\left(t_3\right)F_{\alpha j}\left(t_1\right)\right], \\ \widehat{0} &= D_i\left(t\right), \widehat{1} = D_j\left(t_1\right), \widehat{2} = D_k\left(t_2\right), \widehat{3} = D_l\left(t_3\right). \end{aligned}$$

In the Schrödinger picture, Eq. (16) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left.\frac{\partial\rho_S\left(t\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{4} \\ &= -i\left[H_S,\rho_S\left(t\right)\right] + \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt_2 \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} dt_3 \sum_{ijkl} \left[I_1 + I_2 + II_1 + II_2 + II_3 + \text{H.c.}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= C_{02}C_{13}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\\
&+C_{02}C_{13}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\,D_i\\
&-C_{02}C_{13}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\\
&-C_{02}C_{13}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\,D_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= C_{02}C_{31}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l D_i\\
&+C_{02}C_{31}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\\
&-C_{02}C_{31}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\\
&-C_{02}C_{31}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l D_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
II_1 &= C_{03}C_{12}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\\
&+C_{03}C_{12}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\rho_S(t)\,D_i\\
&-C_{03}C_{12}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\rho_S(t)\\
&-C_{03}C_{12}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\,D_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
II_2 &= C_{03}C_{12}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j\\
&+C_{03}C_{12}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j D_i\\
&-C_{03}C_{12}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j\\
&-C_{03}C_{12}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j D_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
II_3 &= C_{03}C_{21}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\\
&+C_{03}C_{21}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k D_i\\
&-C_{03}C_{21}D_i e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k\\
&-C_{03}C_{21}e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}D_l e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}D_j\rho_S(t)\,e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}D_k D_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

Here, we define the super-operator $\mathcal{L}_S$ as $e^{-iH_S t}Oe^{iH_S t} \equiv e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S t}O$. Now, we derive the four-order particle number resolved quantum master equation based on Eq. (17). Without loss of generality, we consider the case of Eq. (18). Considering the tunneling coupling Hamiltonian $H^I_{\rm hyb}(t) = \sum_{\alpha,\mu}\left[f^\dagger_{\alpha\mu}(t)\, d_\mu(t) + f_{\alpha\mu}(t)\, d^\dagger_\mu(t)\right]$, the C_{02} and C_{13} have the following forms

$$C^{(+)}_{02} = \sum_{\alpha ik} {\rm tr}_B\left[f^\dagger_{\alpha i}(t)\, f_{\alpha k}(t_2)\right],\ C^{(-)}_{02} = \sum_{\alpha ik} {\rm tr}_B\left[f_{\alpha i}(t)\, f^\dagger_{\alpha k}(t_2)\right],$$

$$C^{(+)}_{13} = \sum_{\alpha jl} {\rm tr}_B\left[f^\dagger_{\alpha j}(t_1)\, f_{\alpha l}(t_3)\right],\ C^{(-)}_{13} = \sum_{\alpha jl} {\rm tr}_B\left[f_{\alpha j}(t_1)\, f^\dagger_{\alpha l}(t_3)\right],$$

respectively. Along the line described in the case of the second-order particle number resolved quantum master equation, one can obtain

$$I_1 = I_{1,n} + I_{1,n-1} + I_{1,n+1}, \tag{23}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_{1,n} = \\
&+C^{(-)}_{0,2}C^{(-)}_{1,3i}d^\dagger_i A^\dagger_j A_k A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t) + C^{(-)}_{0,2}C^{(+)}_{1,3}d^\dagger_i A_j A_k A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\\
&-C^{(-)}_{0,2}C^{(-)}_{1,3}d^\dagger_i A_k A^\dagger_j A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t) - C^{(-)}_{0,2}C^{(+)}_{1,3}d^\dagger_i A_k A_j A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\\
&+C^{(+)}_{0,2}C^{(-)}_{1,3}d_i A^\dagger_j A^\dagger_k A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t) + C^{(+)}_{0,2}C^{(+)}_{1,3}d_i A_j A^\dagger_k A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\\
&-C^{(+)}_{0,2}C^{(-)}_{1,3}d_i A^\dagger_k A^\dagger_j A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t) - C^{(+)}_{0,2}C^{(+)}_{1,3}d_i A^\dagger_k A_j A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\\
&+C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A_k A^\dagger_j A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i + C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A_k A^\dagger_j A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i\\
&-C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_j A_k A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i - C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_j A_k A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i\\
&+C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A_k A_j A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i + C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A_k A_j A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i\\
&-C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A_j A_k A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i - C^{(-)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A_j A_k A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d^\dagger_i\\
&+C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_k A^\dagger_j A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i + C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_k A^\dagger_j A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i\\
&-C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_j A^\dagger_k A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i - C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_j A^\dagger_k A_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i\\
&+C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_k A_j A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i + C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_k A_j A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i\\
&-C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A_j A^\dagger_k A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i - C^{(+)}_{L,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A_j A^\dagger_k A^\dagger_l \rho^{(n)}_S(t)\, d_i
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&I_{1,n-1} = \\
&+C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A_kA^\dagger_jA_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i + C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A_kA^\dagger_jA_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i \\
&-C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_jA_kA_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i - C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_jA_kA_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i \\
&+C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A_kA_jA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i + C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A_kA_jA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i \\
&-C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A_jA_kA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i - C^{(-)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A_jA_kA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n-1)}_S(t)\,d^\dagger_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&I_{1,n+1} = \\
&+C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_kA^\dagger_jA_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i + C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_kA^\dagger_jA_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i \\
&-C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_jA^\dagger_kA_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i - C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(-)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_jA^\dagger_kA_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i \\
&+C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A^\dagger_kA_jA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i + C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A^\dagger_kA_jA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i \\
&-C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{L,1,3}A_jA^\dagger_kA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i - C^{(+)}_{R,0,2}C^{(+)}_{R,1,3}A_jA^\dagger_kA^\dagger_l\rho^{(n+1)}_S(t)\,d_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{26}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_j &= e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}d_j, A^\dagger_j = e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_1)}d^\dagger_j, \\
A_k &= e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}d_k, A^\dagger_k = e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_2)}d^\dagger_k, \\
A_l &= e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}d_l, A^\dagger_l = e^{-i\mathcal{L}_S(t-t_3)}d^\dagger_l.
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the procedure described above, one can obtain the particle-number-resolved density matrices corresponding to Eq. (17), which is the starting point of the non-Markovian FCS calculation taking the cotunneling processes into account. Therefore, the particle number resolved quantum master equation taking into account both the sequential tunneling and cotunneling can be expressed as

$$
\frac{\partial\rho^{(n)}_S(t)}{\partial t} = -i\left[H_S,\rho_S(t)\right] + \left.\frac{\partial\rho^{(n)}_S(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{\text{sceond-order}} + \left.\frac{\partial\rho^{(n)}_S(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{\text{four-order}} \tag{27}
$$

D. FULL COUNTING STATISTICS

In this subsection, we outline the procedure to obtain the FCS formalism based on Eq. (27). The FCS can be obtained from the cumulant generating function (CGF) $F(\chi)$ which

related to the probability distribution $P(n,t)$ by[46]

$$e^{-F(\chi)} = \sum_n P(n,t)\, e^{in\chi}, \tag{28}$$

where χ is the counting field. The CGF $F(\chi)$ connects with the particle-number-resolved density matrix $\rho^{(n)}(t)$ by defining $S(\chi,t) = \sum_n \rho^{(n)}(t)\, e^{in\chi}$. Evidently, one has $e^{-F(\chi)} = \mathrm{Tr}[S(\chi,t)]$, where the trace is over the eigenstates of the QD molecule. Since Eq. (27) has the following form

$$\dot{\rho}^{(n)} = A\rho^{(n)} + C_1\rho^{(n+1)} + D_1\rho^{(n-1)} + C_2\rho^{(n+2)} + D_2\rho^{(n-2)}, \tag{29}$$

then $S(\chi,t)$ satisfies

$$\dot{S} = AS + e^{-i\chi}C_1S + e^{i\chi}D_1S + e^{-2i\chi}C_2S + e^{2i\chi}D_2S \equiv L_\chi S, \tag{30}$$

where S is a column matrix, and A, C_1, D_1, C_2 and D_2 are five square matrices. Here, for the second-order case $C_2 = D_2 = 0$, and the specific form of L_χ can be obtained by performing a discrete Fourier transformation to the matrix elements of Eq. (27).

In the low frequency limit, the counting time (*i.e.*, the time of measurement) is much longer than the time of electron tunneling through the QD molecule. In this case, $F(\chi)$ is given by[40–42, 46–48]

$$F(\chi) = -\lambda_1(\chi)\, t, \tag{31}$$

where $\lambda_1(\chi)$ is the eigenvalue of L_χ which goes to zero for $\chi \to 0$. According to the definition of the cumulants $\lambda_1(\chi)$ can be expressed as

$$\lambda_1(\chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_k}{t} \frac{(i\chi)^k}{k!}. \tag{32}$$

Here, low order cumulants can be calculated by the Rayleigh–Schrödinger perturbation theory in the counting parameter χ. To calculate the first four current cumulants, we expand L_χ to four order in χ

$$L_\chi = L_0 + L_1\chi + \frac{1}{2!}L_2\chi^2 + \frac{1}{3!}L_3\chi^3 + \frac{1}{4!}L_4\chi^4 + \cdots. \tag{33}$$

Along the lines of Refs. [40–42], we define the two projectors $P = P^2 = |0\rangle\rangle \langle\langle\tilde{0}|$ and $Q = Q^2 = 1 - P$, obeying the relations $PL_0 = L_0P = 0$ and $QL_0 = L_0Q = L_0$. Here, $|0\rangle\rangle$ being the steady state ρ^{stat} is the right eigenvectors of L_0, i.e., $L_0 |0\rangle\rangle = 0$, and $\langle\langle\tilde{0}| \equiv \hat{1}$ is the corresponding left eigenvectors. In view of L_0 being regular, we also introduce the pseudoinverse according to $R = QL_0^{-1}Q$, which is well-defined because the inversion is performed only in the subspace spanned by Q. After a careful calculation, $\lambda_1(\chi)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1(\chi) = & \langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1 |0\rangle\rangle \chi \\
& + \frac{1}{2!}\left[\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_2 |0\rangle\rangle - 2\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1RL_1 |0\rangle\rangle\right]\chi^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{3!}\left[\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_3 |0\rangle\rangle - 3\langle\langle\tilde{0}| (L_2RL_1 + L_1RL_2) |0\rangle\rangle\right. \\
& \left. -6\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1R(RL_1P - L_1R)L_1 |0\rangle\rangle\right]\chi^3 + \\
& + \frac{1}{4!}\left[\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_4 |0\rangle\rangle - 6\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_2RL_2 |0\rangle\rangle\right. \\
& - 4\langle\langle\tilde{0}| (L_3RL_1 + L_1RL_3) |0\rangle\rangle \\
& - 12\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_2R(RL_1P - L_1R)L_1 |0\rangle\rangle \\
& - 12\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1R(RL_2P - L_2R)L_1 |0\rangle\rangle \\
& - 12\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1R(RL_1P - L_1R)L_2 |0\rangle\rangle \\
& - 24\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1R\left(R^2L_1PL_1P - RL_1PL_1R - L_1R^2L_1P\right. \\
& \left.\left. -RL_1RL_1P + L_1RL_1R\right)L_1 |0\rangle\rangle\right]\chi^4 + \cdots .
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

From Eqs. (32) and (34) we can identify the first four current cumulants:

$$C_1/t = \langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1 |0\rangle\rangle / i, \tag{35}$$

$$C_2/t = \left[\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_2 |0\rangle\rangle - 2\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1RL_1 |0\rangle\rangle\right] / i^2, \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3/t = & \left[\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_3 |0\rangle\rangle - 3\langle\langle\tilde{0}| (L_2RL_1 + L_1RL_2) |0\rangle\rangle\right. , \\
& \left. -6\langle\langle\tilde{0}| L_1R(RL_1P - L_1R)L_1 |0\rangle\rangle\right] / i^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_4/t = & \left[\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{0}\right| L_4 \left|0\right\rangle\right\rangle - 6\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{0}\right| L_2 R L_2 \left|0\right\rangle\right\rangle\right. \\
& - 4\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{0}\right| \left(L_3 R L_1 + L_1 R L_3\right) \left|0\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& - 12\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{0}\right| L_2 R \left(R L_1 P - L_1 R\right) L_1 \left|0\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& - 12\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{0}\right| L_1 R \left(R L_2 P - L_2 R\right) L_1 \left|0\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& - 12\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{0}\right| L_1 R \left(R L_1 P - L_1 R\right) L_2 \left|0\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& - 24\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{0}\right| L_1 R \left(R^2 L_1 P L_1 P - R L_1 P L_1 R - L_1 R^2 L_1 P\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left. - R L_1 R L_1 P + L_1 R L_1 R\right) L_1 \left|0\right\rangle\right\rangle\Big] / i^4. \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}
$$

The four equations above are the starting point of the calculation in following. Here, the first four cumulants are directly related to the transport characteristics. For example, the first-order cumulant $C_1 = \bar{n}$ describes the peak position of the distribution of transferred-electron number and gives the average current $\langle I \rangle = eC_1/t$. The second-order cumulant describes the peak-width of the distribution of transferred-electron number, which is related to the zero-frequency shot noise $S = 2e^2 \left(\overline{n^2} - \bar{n}^2\right)/t$. The third-order $C_3 = \overline{(n-\bar{n})^3}$ and four-order $C_4 = \overline{(n-\bar{n})^4} - 3\overline{(n-\bar{n})^2}^2$ cumulants characterize, respectively, the skewness and kurtosis of the distribution of transferred-electron number. Here, $\overline{(\cdots)} = \sum_n (\cdots) P(n,t)$. In general, the shot noise, the skewness and the kurtosis are represented by the Fano factors $F_2 = C_2/C_1$, $F_3 = C_3/C_1$ and $F_4 = C_4/C_1$, respectively.

III. THE SIDE-COUPLED DOUBLE-QUANTUM-DOT MOLECULE WITH STRONG QUANTUM COHERENCE

In order to facilitate discussions effectively, we consider a side-coupled double-QD molecule weakly connected to two metallic electrodes, see Fig. 1. For the sake of simplicity, we neglect electron-spin. The side-coupled double-QD molecule is described by a spinless Hamiltonian $H_{\rm dot} = \varepsilon_1 d_1^\dagger d_1 + \varepsilon_2 d_2^\dagger d_2 + U_{12}\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 - J\left(d_1^\dagger d_2 + d_2^\dagger d_1\right)$, where $d_i^\dagger$ (d_i) creates (annihilates) an electron with energy ε_i in ith QD. U_{12} is the interdot Coulomb repulsion between two electrons in different QDs, where we assume that the intradot Coulomb interaction $U \to \infty$, so that the double-electron occupation in the same QD is prohibited but in different QDs is permitted. The last term of H_{dot} describes the hopping coupling between the two dots with J being the hopping parameter. The two metallic elec-

trodes are modeled as non-interacting Fermi gases and the corresponding Hamiltonian reads $H_{\text{electrodes}} = \sum_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} a^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} a_{\alpha\mathbf{k}}$, where $a^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mathbf{k}}$ ($a_{\alpha\mathbf{k}}$) creates (annihilates) an electron with energy $\varepsilon_{\alpha\mathbf{k}}$ and momentum $\mathbf{k}$ in α electrode. The tunneling between the QD-1 and the two electrodes is described by $H_{\text{hyb}} = \sum_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} \left(t_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} a^{\dagger}_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} d_1 + t^{*}_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} d^{\dagger}_1 a_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} \right)$. The corresponding electronic tunneling rate is characterized by $\Gamma_\alpha = 2\pi |t_\alpha|^2 g_\alpha$, where the tunneling amplitudes t_α and the density of the state g_α are assumed to be independent of wave vector and energy. The side-coupled double-QD molecule Hamiltonian H_{dot} can be diagonalized in the basis represented by the electron occupation numbers in the QD-1 and QD-2 denoted respectively by N_L and N_R, namely, $\{|0,0\rangle, |1,0\rangle, |0,1\rangle, |1,1\rangle\}$, and the corresponding four eigenstates of the considered side-coupled double-QD molecule are given by[38]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{dot}} |0\rangle &= 0, |0\rangle = |0,0\rangle , \\ H_{\text{dot}} |1\rangle^{\pm} &= \varepsilon_{\pm} |1\rangle^{\pm} , |1\rangle^{\pm} = a_{\pm} |1,0\rangle + b_{\pm} |0,1\rangle , \\ H_{\text{dot}} |2\rangle &= \varepsilon_{1,1} |2\rangle = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + U) |2\rangle , |2\rangle = |1,1\rangle , \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with

$$\varepsilon_{\pm} = \frac{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \pm \sqrt{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)^2 + 4J^2}}{2}, \tag{40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\pm} &= \frac{\mp J}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\pm} - \varepsilon_1)^2 + J^2}}, \\ b_{\pm} &= \frac{\pm (\varepsilon_{\pm} - \varepsilon_1)}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\pm} - \varepsilon_1)^2 + J^2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The parameters of the side-coupled double-QD molecule are taken as $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 2.35$, $U_{12} = 4$ and $k_B T = 0.1$ (if not explicitly stated otherwise), where meV is chosen as the unit of energy which corresponds to a typical experimental situation[49]. It is to be noted that the quantum coherence of the side-coupled double-QD molecule can be tuned by modulating the value of the hopping parameter J relative to the coupling strength between the QD-1 and the two electrodes. In the transport regime $J \ll \Gamma$ ($\Gamma = \Gamma_L + \Gamma_R$), the hopping coupling between the two QDs strongly modifies the internal dynamics, and the off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix play an essential role in the electron tunneling processes[35, 38, 50];

while in the regime $J \gg \Gamma$, the off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix have very little influence on the electron tunneling processes[35].

We first study the influences of the off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix, namely, the quantum coherence and the electron cotunneling processes on the FCS in the QD molecule with strong quantum coherence. For the side-coupled double-QD molecule, the quantum coherence has a remarkable influence on the electron sequential tunneling processes in the bias voltage range in which the transitions between the singly-occupied and empty-occupied eigenstates take place[35, 38]. Consequently, the following discussions focus on this bias region, here the applied bias voltage is chosen as $V_b = 4.5$. To determine the dependence of the FCS on the quantum coherence and the electron cotunneling processes, we consider the average current, the shot noise, the skewness and the kurtosis as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_α for the four different cases, (1) considering only the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the sequential tunneling processes, (2) considering the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the sequential tunneling processes, (3) considering only the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes, (4) considering the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes.

Figures 2, 3 and 4 show the effect of the temperature of the QD molecule on the first four current cumulants with the different values of the left-right asymmetry of the QD-electrode coupling Γ_L/Γ_R. For the coupling of the QD-1 with the source-electrode is stronger than that of the QD-1 with the drain-electrode, i.e., $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$, we plot the first four current cumulants as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_L with different temperatures k_BT at $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R = 10$ in Fig. 2. We found that in the $\Gamma/J < 1$ case the electron cotunneling processes play a essential role in determining the values of the shot noise and high-order current cumulants; whereas the quantum coherence in the $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ case play a crucial role in determining whether the super-Poissonian of the shot noise, the skewness and the kurtosis ($F_i > 1$) take place or not, see Fig. 2. In the case of the intermediate value of Γ/J, the competition between the electron cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence occurs. This leads to the formation of a crossover region, but the range of which depends on the temperature k_BT, see Fig. 2.

Compared with the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$ case, in the case of $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R \leq 1$, the range of the crossover

region is very small, which also depends on the temperature k_BT, see Figs. 3 and 4. Particularly, in the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R \leq 1$ case, the interplay between the electron cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence in the $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ case determine the FCS properties of transport current, such as, whether the super-Poissonian of the shot noise, the skewness and the kurtosis ($F_i > 1$) occur or not, and whether the signs of the values of the skewness and the kurtosis become negative from a positive value or not, see Figs. 3 and 4. Here, in the case of $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R = 1$, the behavior of the shot noise in the $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ case is mainly governed by the quantum coherence, see Fig. 4(b1). However, these characteristics also depend on the temperature k_BT, i.e., the quantum coherence will play an essential role in the electron tunneling processes with decreasing temperature, see Figs. 3(a3)-3(d3) and 4(a3)-4(d3). It is well known that the skewness and kurtosis (its magnitude and sign) characterize the asymmetry of and the peakedness of the probability distribution around the average transferred-electron number $\bar{n}$ during a time interval t, respectively, thus that provide further information for the counting statistics beyond the shot noise.

Figures 5 and 6 show the influence of the left-right asymmetry of the QD-electrode coupling Γ_L/Γ_R on the first four current cumulants for a given temperature $k_BT = 0.1$. In the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$ and $\Gamma > J$ (the intermediate value) cases, the range of the crossover region, in which the electron cotunneling processes decrease the values of Fano factors while the quantum coherence increase that of Fano factors, increases with increasing the ratio of Γ_L to Γ_R, see Fig. 5. Whereas in the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R < 1$ and $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ cases, the interplay between the electron cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence has a relatively remarkable influence on the high-order current cumulants with decreasing the ratio of Γ_L to Γ_R, and determines whether the super-Poissonian of the shot noise and the kurtosis take place or not, and whether the transition of the skewness from positive to negative values occurs or not, see Fig. 6.

IV. THE SIDE-COUPLED DOUBLE-QUANTUM-DOT MOLECULE WITH WEAK QUANTUM COHERENCE

We finally discuss the influences of the electron cotunneling processes on the first four current cumulants in the side-coupled double-QD molecule with weak quantum coherence at the hopping parameter $J = 1$. According to the QD molecule parameters, we choose

the three fixed bias voltages under which the different transition processes participate in the electron tunneling, namely, $V_b = 2.5$, $V_b = 4.5$ and $V_b = 6.5$ correspond to the transitions between the singly-occupied $|1\rangle^-$ and empty-occupied eigenstates, the transitions between the singly-occupied $|1\rangle^\pm$ and empty-occupied eigenstates, and the transitions between the singly-occupied $|1\rangle^\pm$ and empty-occupied eigenstates and the transitions between the two-occupied $|2\rangle$ and singly-occupied $|1\rangle^+$ eigenstates involved in the electron tunneling, respectively. In this situation, the properties of the first four current cumulants are well determined by the electron cotunneling processes since the quantum coherence indeed has a very small influence on the values of the first four current cumulants, see Figs. 7 and 8. In the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$ case, the electron cotunneling processes have a relatively obvious influence on the first four current cumulants, see Fig. 7; whereas that in the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R < 1$ case have a slight influence on the first four current cumulants, see Fig. 8. It is important to note that the electron cotunneling processes do not change the intrinsic statistical properties of current cumulants, namely, whether the super-Poissonian of the current cumulants take place or not, and whether the sign transitions of the values of the skewness and the kurtosis occur or not, see Figs. 7 and 8.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have developed an efficient non-Markovian FCS formalism taking into account both the sequential tunneling and cotunneling processes, and studied the influences of the quantum coherence and the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes on the first four current cumulants in a side-coupled double-QD molecule. In the strong quantum-coherent side-coupled double-QD molecule, it is numerically demonstrated that in the sequential tunneling regime the competition or interplay between the cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence, which depends on the temperature of the QD molecule, the left-right asymmetry of the QD-electrode coupling and the corresponding coupling strengths, determines that whether the super-Poissonian of the shot noise, the skewness and the kurtosis take place, and whether the sign transitions of the values of the skewness and the kurtosis occur. However, in the weak quantum-coherent side-coupled double-QD molecule, the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes has a relatively slight influence on the statistical properties of current cumulants, which also depends on the left-right asymmetry

of the QD-electrode coupling and the corresponding coupling strengths. Consequently, the dependence of the FCS on the quantum coherence and the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes is necessary to be considered in open strong quantum-coherent quantum systems even through the electron tunneling is mainly dominated by the sequential tunneling processes.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Shanxi Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 201601D011015, Outstanding Innovative Teams of Higher Learning Institutions of Shanxi, NKRDP under Grant No. 2016YFA0301500, NSFC under Grants Nos. 11204203, 11434015, 61227902, 61378017, KZ201610005011, SKLQOQOD under Grant No. KF201403, SPRPCAS under Grants Nos. XDB01020300, XDB21030300.

[1] S. De Franceschi, S. Sasaki, J. M. Elzerman, W. G. van der Wiel, S. Tarucha, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Electron Cotunneling in a Semiconductor Quantum Dot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 878-881 (2001).

[2] H. W. Liu, T. Fujisawa, T. Hayashi, and Y. Hirayama, Pauli spin blockade in cotunneling transport through a double quantum dot, Phys. Rev. B 72, 161305(R) (2005).

[3] R. Schleser, T. Ihn, E. Ruh, K. Ensslin, M. Tews, D. Pfannkuche, D. C. Driscoll, and A. C. Gossard, Cotunneling-Mediated Transport through Excited States in the Coulomb-Blockade Regime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 206805 (2005).

[4] M. Sigrist, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, D. Loss, M. Reinwald, and W. Wegscheider, Phase Coherence in the Inelastic Cotunneling Regime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 036804 (2006).

[5] M. H. Jo, J. E. Grose, K. Baheti, M. M. Deshmukh, J. J. Sokol, E. M. Rumberger, D. N. Hendrickson, J. R. Long, H. Park, and D. C. Ralph, Signatures of Molecular Magnetism in Single-Molecule Transport Spectroscopy, Nano Lett. 6, 2014–2020 (2006).

[6] N. Roch, R. Vincent, F. Elste, W. Harneit, W. Wernsdorfer, C. Timm, and F. Balestro, Cotunneling through a magnetic single-molecule transistor based on N@C_{60}, Phys. Rev. B 83, 081407(R) (2011).

[7] U. Hartmann and F. K. Wilhelm, Nonlinear cotunneling through an artificial molecule, Phys. Rev. B 67, 161307(R) (2003).

[8] V. N. Golovach and D. Loss, Transport through a double quantum dot in the sequential tunneling and cotunneling regimes, Phys. Rev. B 69, 245327 (2004).

[9] I. Weymann, and J. Barnaś, Effect of intrinsic spin relaxation on the spin-dependent cotunneling transport through quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B 73, 205309 (2006).

[10] F. Elste, and C. Timm, Cotunneling and nonequilibrium magnetization in magnetic molecular monolayers, Phys. Rev. B 75, 195341 (2007).

[11] M. Misiorny, I. Weymann, and J. Barnaś, Spin effects in transport through single-molecule magnets in the sequential and cotunneling regimes, Phys. Rev. B 79, 224420 (2009).

[12] G. Begemann, S. Koller, M. Grifoni, and J. Paaske, Inelastic cotunneling in quantum dots and molecules with weakly broken degeneracies, Phys. Rev. B 82, 045316 (2010).

[13] E. Onac, F. Balestro, B. Trauzettel, C. F. J. Lodewijk, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Shot-Noise Detection in a Carbon Nanotube Quantum Dot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 026803 (2006).

[14] S. Gustavsson, R. Leturcq, B. Simovič, R. Schleser, P. Studerus, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, D. C. Driscoll, and A. C. Gossard, Counting statistics and super-Poissonian noise in a quantum dot: Time-resolved measurements of electron transport, Phys. Rev. B 74, 195305 (2006).

[15] Y. Zhang, L. DiCarlo, D. T. McClure, M.Yamamoto, S. Tarucha, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, Noise correlations in a Coulomb-blockaded quantum dot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 036603 (2007).

[16] O. Zarchin, Y. C. Chung, M. Heiblum, D. Rohrlich, and V. Umansky, Electron bunching in transport through quantum dots in a high magnetic field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 066801 (2007).

[17] S. Gustavsson, M. Studer, R. Leturcq, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, D. C. Driscoll, and A. C. Gossard, Detecting single-electron tunneling involving virtual processes in real time, Phys. Rev. B 78, 155309 (2008).

[18] Y. Okazaki, S. Sasaki, and K. Muraki, Shot noise spectroscopy on a semiconductor quantum dot in the elastic and inelastic cotunneling regimes, Phys. Rev. B 87, 041302(R) (2013).

[19] A. Thielmann, M. H. Hettler, J. König, and G. Schön, Cotunneling Current and Shot Noise in Quantum Dots, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 146806 (2005).

[20] I. Weymann, Effects of different geometries on the conductance, shot noise, and tunnel magnetoresistance of double quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B 78, 045310 (2008).

[21] I. Weymann, and J. Barnaś, Shot noise and tunnel magnetoresistance in multilevel quantum dots: Effects of cotunneling, Phys. Rev. B 77, 075305 (2008).

[22] J. Aghassi, M. H. Hettler, and G. Schön, Cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling in multilevel quantum dots, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 202101 (2008).

[23] I. Weymann and J. Barnaś, Eightfold shell-filling patterns in spin-dependent transport through double-wall carbon nanotube quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B 82, 165450 (2010).

[24] I. Weymann, B. R. Bułka, and J. Barnaś, Dark states in transport through triple quantum dots: The role of cotunneling, Phys. Rev. B 83, 195302 (2011).

[25] A. Carmi and Y. Oreg, Enhanced shot noise in asymmetric interacting two-level systems, Phys. Rev. B 85, 045325 (2012).

[26] K. Kaasbjerg, and W. Belzig, Full counting statistics and shot noise of cotunneling in quantum dots and single-molecule transistors, Phys. Rev. B 91, 235413 (2015).

[27] K. Wrześniewski and I. Weymann, Spin effects in transport through triangular quantum dot molecule in different geometrical configurations, Phys. Rev. B 92, 045407 (2015).

[28] E. V. Sukhorukov, G. Burkard, and D. Loss, Noise of a quantum dot system in the cotunneling regime, Phys. Rev. B 63, 125315 (2001).

[29] A. Braggio, J. König, and R. Fazio, Full Counting Statistics in Strongly Interacting Systems: Non-Markovian Effects, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 026805 (2006).

[30] Y. Utsumi, D. S. Golubev, and G. Schön, Full Counting Statistics for a Single-Electron Transistor: Nonequilibrium Effects at Intermediate Conductance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 086803 (2006).

[31] C. Emary, Counting statistics of cotunneling electrons, Phys. Rev. B 80, 235306 (2009).

[32] G. Kießlich, E. Schöll, T. Brandes, F. Hohls, and R. J. Haug, Noise Enhancement due to Quantum Coherence in Coupled Quantum Dots, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 206602 (2007).

[33] S. Lindebaum, D. Urban, and J. König, Spin-induced charge correlations in transport through interacting quantum dots with ferromagnetic electrodes, Phys. Rev. B 79, 245303 (2009).

[34] Daniel Urban and J. König, Tunable dynamical channel blockade in double-dot Aharonov-Bohm interferometers, Phys. Rev. B 79, 165319 (2009).

[35] H. B. Xue, Full counting statistics as a probe of quantum coherence in a side-coupled double quantum dot system, Ann. Phys. (New York) 339, 208-217 (2013).

[36] H. B. Xue, Y. H. Nie J. Z. Chen, and W. Ren, Probing the effective nuclear-spin magnetic

field in a single quantum dot via full counting statistics, Ann. Phys. (New York) 354, 375–384, (2015).

[37] H. B. Xue, Y. H. Nie, and W. Ren, Negative differential conductance and super-Poissonian shot noise in a single quantum dot coupled to two noncollinear polarized ferromagnetic electrodes, Eur. Phys. J. B 88, 76 (2015).

[38] H. B. Xue, H. J. Jiao, J. Q. Liang, and W. M. Liu, Non-Markovian full counting statistics in quantum dot molecules, Sci. Rep. 5, 8978 (2015).

[39] I. Weymann, J. Barnaś, and S. Krompiewski, Transport through single-wall metallic carbon nanotubes in the cotunneling regime, Phys. Rev. B 78, 035422 (2008).

[40] C. Flindt, T. Novotný and A. P. Jauho, Full counting statistics of nano-electromechanical systems, Europhys. Lett. 69, 475 (2005).

[41] C. Flindt, T. Novotný, A. Braggio, M. Sassetti and A. P. Jauho, Counting Statistics of Non-Markovian Quantum Stochastic Processes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 150601 (2008).

[42] C. Flindt, T. Novotný, A. Braggio and A. P. Jauho, Counting statistics of transport through Coulomb blockade nanostructures: High-order cumulants and non-Markovian effects, Phys. Rev. B 82, 155407 (2010).

[43] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, The Theory of Open Quantum Systems (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2002).

[44] X. Q. Li, J. Y. Luo, Y. G. Yang, P. Cui, and Y. J. Yan, Quantum master-equation approach to quantum transport through mesoscopic systems. Phys. Rev. B 71, 205304 (2005).

[45] S. K. Wang, H. J. Jiao, F. Li, X. Q. Li, and Y. J. Yan, Full counting statistics of transport through two-channel Coulomb blockade systems. Phys. Rev. B 76, 125416 (2007).

[46] D. A. Bagrets, Yu. V. Nazarov, Full counting statistics of charge transfer in Coulomb blockade systems, Phys. Rev. B 67, 085316 (2003).

[47] G. Kießlich, P. Samuelsson, A. Wacker, E. Schöll, Counting statistics and decoherence in coupled quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B 73, 033312 (2006).

[48] C. W. Groth, B. Michaelis, C. W. J. Beenakker, Counting statistics of coherent population trapping in quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B 74, 125315 (2006).

[49] J. M. Elzerman, R. Hanson, L. H. Willems van Beveren, B. Witkamp, L. M. K. Vandersypen, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Single-shot read-out of an individual electron spin in a quantum dot. Nature 430, 431-435 (2004).

[50] J. Y. Luo, H. J. Jiao, Y. Shen, G. Cen, X. L. He, and C. R. Wang, Full counting statistics of level renormalization in electron transport through double quantum dots. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 23, 145301 (2011).



FIG. 1: (Color online) The considered open quantum system consists of a quantum-coherent-tunable side-coupled single-level double-quantum-dot molecule weakly coupled to two electron reservoirs (electrodes). Here, J and Γ_α characterize the hopping coupling between the two QDs and the tunneling coupling between the QD-1 and the electrode α, respectively. The QD molecule possess strong quantum coherence in the case of $J \ll \Gamma$ ($\Gamma = \Gamma_L + \Gamma_R$), whereas in the $J \gg \Gamma$ case that possess weak quantum coherence.



FIG. 2: (Color online) The average current ($\langle I \rangle$), shot noise (C_2/C_1), skewness (C_3/C_1) and kurtosis (C_4/C_1) as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_L with different temperatures of the QD molecule k_BT at $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R = 10$, where C_k is the zero-frequency k-order cumulant of current fluctuations. Here, the four different cases are considered, namely, (1) second-order diagonal, i.e., considering only the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the sequential tunneling processes, (2) second-order off-diagonal, i.e., considering the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the sequential tunneling processes, (3) four-order diagonal, i.e., considering only the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes, (4) four-order off-diagonal, i.e., considering the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the cotunneling assisted sequential tunneling processes. In the $\Gamma/J < 1$ case, the statistical properties of current cumulants are mainly governed by the electron cotunneling processes; whereas that in the $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ case are mainly governed by the quantum coherence. In the case of the intermediate value of Γ/J, the competition between the electron cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence takes place, which leads to the formation of a crossover region. However, the range of the crossover region depends on the temperature k_BT. The side-coupled double-QD molecule parameters: $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 2.35$, $J = 0.001$, $U_{12} = 4$ and $V_b = 4.5$, where meV is chosen as the unit of energy.



FIG. 3: (Color online) The average current ($\langle I \rangle$), shot noise (C_2/C_1), skewness (C_3/C_1) and kurtosis (C_4/C_1) as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_R with different temperatures of the QDs molecule k_BT at $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R = 0.1$. Here, C_k is the zero-frequency k-order cumulant of current fluctuations. Compared with the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$ case, the range of the crossover region in the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R < 1$ case is very small. In the $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ case, the interplay between the electron cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence determines whether the super-Poissonian of the shot noise and the kurtosis occur and whether the signs of the values of the skewness become negative from positive values, which is in contrast to the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$ case but also depends on the temperature k_BT. The QD molecule parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.



FIG. 4: (Color online) The average current ($\langle I \rangle$), shot noise (C_2/C_1), skewness (C_3/C_1) and kurtosis (C_4/C_1) as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_L with different temperatures of the QDs molecule k_BT at $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R = 1$. Here, C_k is the zero-frequency k-order cumulant of current fluctuations. In the $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ case, the quantum coherence plays an essential role in determining whether the super-Poissonian shot noise takes place; whereas the interplay between the electron cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence determines whether the super-Poissonian of the skewness and the kurtosis occur and whether the signs of the values of the kurtosis become a large negative from a small positive values, which depends on the temperature k_BT. The QD molecule parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.



FIG. 5: (Color online) The average current ($\langle I\rangle$), shot noise (C_2/C_1), skewness (C_3/C_1) and kurtosis (C_4/C_1) as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_L with different values of the ratio of Γ_L to Γ_R at $\Gamma_L > \Gamma_R$ and $k_BT = 0.1$. Here, C_k is the zero-frequency k-order cumulant of current fluctuations. In the crossover region, the electron cotunneling processes decrease the values of Fano factors while the quantum coherence increase that of Fano factors, and the range of the crossover region increases with increasing the ratio of Γ_L to Γ_R. The QD molecule parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.



FIG. 6: (Color online) The average current ($\langle I\rangle$), shot noise (C_2/C_1), skewness (C_3/C_1) and kurtosis (C_4/C_1) as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_R with different values of the ratio of Γ_L to Γ_R at $\Gamma_L < \Gamma_R$ and $k_BT = 0.1$. Here, C_k is the zero-frequency k-order cumulant of current fluctuations. In the $\Gamma/J \gg 1$ case, the interplay between the electron cotunneling processes and the quantum coherence determines whether the super-Poissonian of the shot noise and the kurtosis take place, and whether the transition of the skewness from positive to negative values occurs. The QD molecule parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.



FIG. 7: (Color online) The average current ($\langle I\rangle$), shot noise (C_2/C_1), skewness (C_3/C_1) and kurtosis (C_4/C_1) as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_L with different bias voltages V_b at $k_BT = 0.1$ and $\Gamma_R = 0.001$. Here, C_k is the zero-frequency k-order cumulant of current fluctuations. Here, the three fixed bias voltages under which the different transitions involved in the electron tunneling are considered, namely, (1) $V_b = 2.5$ corresponding to the transitions between the singly-occupied $|1\rangle^-$ and empty-occupied eigenstates, (2) $V_b = 4.5$ corresponding to the transitions between the singly-occupied $|1\rangle^\pm$ and empty-occupied eigenstates, (3) $V_b = 6.5$ corresponding to the transitions between the singly-occupied $|1\rangle^\pm$ and empty-occupied eigenstates and the transitions between the two-occupied $|2\rangle$ and singly-occupied $|1\rangle^+$ eigenstates. The electron cotunneling processes in the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$ case have a relatively obvious influence on the first four-order current cumulants. The side-coupled double-QD molecule parameters: $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 2.35$, $J = 1$, $U_{12} = 4$ and $\Gamma_R = 0.001$, where meV is chosen as the unit of energy.



FIG. 8: (Color online) The average current ($\langle I\rangle$), shot noise (C_2/C_1), skewness (C_3/C_1) and kurtosis (C_4/C_1) as a function of the tunneling rate Γ_R with different bias voltages V_b at $k_BT = 0.1$ and $\Gamma_L = 0.001$. Here, C_k is the zero-frequency k-order cumulant of current fluctuations. Compared with the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R > 1$ case, the electron cotunneling processes in the $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_R < 1$ case have a slight influence on the first four-order current cumulants. The side-coupled double-QD molecule parameters: $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 2.35$, $J = 1$, $U_{12} = 4$ and $\Gamma_L = 0.001$, where meV is chosen as the unit of energy.